1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARSHA E. BARR-FERNANDEZ	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 200896	
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	, ,
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6249 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	•
8	BEFOR	E THE
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke	Case No. 800-2023-099083
12	Probation Against:	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
13	PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D. 24339 Welby Way	
14	West Hills, CA 91307-2843	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PAR	TIES
20	Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings	this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in his
21	official capacity as the Executive Director of the	,
22	Consumer Affairs (Board).	
23	2. On or about May 4, 2000, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
24	Number A 71500 to Pez Abrahams, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's	
2 5	Certificate was in effect at all times relevant to the	ne charges brought herein, except that said
26	Certificate was subject to an Automatic Suspens	ion Order (ASO), effective September 4, 2013
27		
28	///	
		1

(PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D.) PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION (800-2023-099083)

through August 4, 2015, and was also subject to a Cease Practice Order (CPO), effective March 7, 2022, which was lifted on April 14, 2022. Respondent's Certificate will expire on October 31, 2025, unless renewed.

- 3. In an action titled *In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against Pez Abrahams*, M.D., Case No. 17-2007-184776, the Board issued a decision, effective July 15, 2011, in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of seven (7) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.
- 4. In an action titled *In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against Pez Abrahams, M.D.*, Case No. D1-2007-184776, the Board issued a decision, effective August 4, 2015, in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was surrendered and accepted by the Board. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit B and incorporated by reference.
- 5. In an action titled *In the Matter of the Petition to Reinstate the Revoked Certificate of Pez Abrahams, M.D.*, Case No. 800-2020-063423, the Board issued a decision, effective June 2, 2021, in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was reinstated and immediately revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit C and incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 7. Section 2004 of the Code states:

The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.

///

///

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Pass Clinical Competence Assessment Program)

9. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation as ordered in Case No. 800-2020-063423, Probation Condition 1 stated:

Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical competence assessment program before practicing medicine.

- 10. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 1, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- 11. On or about May 24, 2021, Respondent presented to the Board's Probation Unit for an interview. At that time, Respondent was advised that he must enroll in the Clinical Competence Assessment Program by September 30, 2021, and that he was required to complete the program not later than six (6) months after his enrollment. Respondent was further advised that he was not allowed to return to the practice of medicine until he had been notified in writing by the Board that he had successfully completed the program. At the conclusion of the interview, Respondent signed an "Acknowledgement of Decision," indicating he understood the terms and conditions of his probation.
- 12. On or about August 10, 2021, the Board received confirmation of Respondent's enrollment in a clinical competence assessment program.
- 13. On or about March 1, 2022, the Board received the report for the clinical competence assessment program in which Respondent participated in September and October 2021. The report indicated Respondent did not pass the clinical competence assessment program.
- 14. On or about October 6, 2022, Respondent enrolled for a second attempt in a clinical competence assessment program.
- 15. On or about May 15, 2023, the Board received the report for the second attempt at the clinical competence assessment program in which Respondent participated in January 2023. The report indicated Respondent did not pass the clinical competence assessment program.

16. The failure to pass the clinical competency assessment program constitutes a violation of Condition 1 of Respondent's probation.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 17. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about July 15, 2011, in a prior disciplinary action titled *In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against Pez Abrahams, M.D.*, Case No. 17-2007-184776, Respondent's Certificate was revoked, the revocation stayed and placed on seven (7) years' probation with certain terms and conditions, for sexual exploitation of a patient, gross negligence, and aiding or abetting the unlicensed practice of medicine. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 18. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about August 4, 2015, in an action titled *In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against Pez Abrahams, M.D.*, Case No. D1-2007-184776, Respondent's Certificate was surrendered and accepted by the Board, following Respondent's conviction for violating Title 18 United States Code section 1347, Health Care Fraud, a felony. Respondent was sentenced to serve forty-two (42) months in Federal prison and was ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$3,184,617.00. The record of the criminal proceeding is incorporated as if fully set forth. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case No. 800-2020-063423 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500 issued to Pez Abrahams, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500, issued to Pez Abrahams, M.D.;

///

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 17-2007-184776

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First A Accusation Against:	mended)	·
PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D.	·)	Case No. 17-2007-184776
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A71500)))	•
	Respondent.)	
)	•

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 15, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED June 30, 2011.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
I do hereby certify that this document is a true	By
and correct copy of the original on file in this	•
office/1-1	
(UN 7/UMILTER	
Signature	
For the Custodiar of Records	
Title M 12 1-12	
1/1026 00 0000	
Date	

Shelton Duruisseau, Ph.D., Chair
Panel A

1	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California	
2	ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	CINDY M. LOPEZ Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 119988 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	·
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-9393 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant	
7	7.1101 neys for Comprainain	·
8	DEPARTMENT OF C	THE OF CALIFORNIA ONSUMER AFFAIRS ALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation	Case No. 17-2007-184776
11	Against:	OAH No. 2010070936
12	PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D.	
13	6742 Winnetka Avenue Winnetka, California 91306	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14 ⁻	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	GREED by and between the parties to the above-
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters a	re true:
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
22	of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this	
23	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Cindy M. Lopez,	
24	\cdot	
25	1 Department is represented in this procedure	
26	2. Respondent Pez Abrahams, W.B. (Respondent) is represented the Pez Abrahams is represented the	
27		
28	California, 90064-1683.	
		1 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
		STIFULKIESS DELLEDSMISS.

3. On or about May 4, 2000, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 71500 to PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 17-2007-184776 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on January 26, 2011. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 17-2007-184776 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 17-2007-184776. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

27 ///

28 | ///

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 17-2007-184776.
- 9. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the imposition of discipline by the Board of California as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
- 10. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 17-2007-184776 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

RESERVATION

The admissions made by Respondent herein arc only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

1 I

The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500 issued to Respondent Pez Abrahams, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for seven (7) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>ETHICS COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first year of probation is a violation of probation.

An ethics course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

2. PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES PROGRAM Within 60 calendar days from the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a professional boundaries program, at respondent's expense, equivalent to the Professional Boundaries Program, Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"). Respondent, at the Program's discretion, shall undergo and complete the Program's assessment of respondent's competency, mental health and/or neuropsychological performance, and at minimum, a 24 hour program of interactive education and training in the area of

 boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the assessment and from the Decision(s), Accusation(s) and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The Program shall evaluate respondent at the end of the training and the Program shall provide any date from the assessment and training as well as the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee.

Failure to complete the entire Program not later than six months after respondent's initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Based on respondent's performance in and evaluations from the assessment, education, and training, the Program shall advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy and other measures necessary to ensure that respondent can practice medicine safely. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations. At the completion of the Program, respondent shall submit to a final evaluation. The Program shall provide the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee.

The Program's determination whether or not respondent successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the Program, as outlined above, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall not practice medicine until respondent has successfully completed the Program and has been so notified by the Board or its designee in writing.

this decision, and on a whatever periodic basis thereafter may be required by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board appointed, board certified psychiatrist who shall consider any information provided by the Board or designee and any other information the psychiatrist deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Psychiatric evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing.

 Respondent shall comply with all restrictions, conditions, or treatment, recommended by the evaluating psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designce. Failure to undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation and psychological testing, or comply with the required additional conditions or restrictions, is a violation of probation.

4. THIRD PARTY CHAPERONE During probation, respondent shall have a third party chaperone present while consulting, examining or treating female patients.

Respondent shall, within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Decision, submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval name(s) of persons who will act as the third party chaperone.

Each third party chaperone shall initial and date each patient medical record at the time the chaperone's services are provided. Each third party chaperone shall read the Decision(s) and the Accusation(s), and fully understand the role of the third party chaperone.

Respondent shall maintain a log of all patients seen for whom a third party chaperone is required. The log shall contain the: 1) patient name, address and telephone number; 2) medical record number; and 3) date of service. Respondent shall keep this log in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, shall make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all times during business hours by the Board or its designee, and shall retain the log for the entire term of probation. Failure to maintain a log of all patients requiring a third party chaperone, or to make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall provide written notification to respondent's patients that a third party chaperone shall be present during all consultations, examination, or treatment with female patients. Respondent shall maintain in the patient's file a copy of the written notification, shall make the notification available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all times during business hours by the Board or its designee, and shall retain the notification for the entire term of probation.

5. NOTIFICATION Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief

1.3

2.8

Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 6. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 7. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 8. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.
- 9. <u>PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE</u> Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's certificate.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

10. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Board or its designee, upon request at various intervals, and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

11. RESIDING OR PRACTICING OUT-OF-STATE In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws and Probation Unit Compliance.

Respondent's certificate shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, Respondent's license shall not be cancelled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

12. <u>FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT</u>
In the event Respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason Respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the

5

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

> 24 25

26 27

28

probationary term and does not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's certificate shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- COMPLETION OF PROBATION Respondent shall comply with all financial 13. obligations (e.g., probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- VIOLATION OF PROBATION Failure to fully comply with any term or 14. condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- LICENSE SURRENDER Following he effective date of this Decision, if 15. Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request the voluntary surrender of Respondent's certificate. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender,

1

Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of Respondent's certificate shall be deemed disciplinary action. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

PROBATION MONITORING COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated 16. with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Henry Lewin. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

JUNE 123,2011 DATED:

PEZ ABRAHAMS M.D. Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Pez Abrahams, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

I approve its form and content.

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated:

Respectfully Submitted,

Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General

CINDY M. LOPEZ Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

l

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 17-2007-184776

		·
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	telet im m
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	CINDY M. LOPEZ	EDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
4	State Bar No. 119988	Tricher ANALYST
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	,
6	Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	
7	Attorneys for Complainant REFOR	RE THE
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
10		1
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation	Case No. 17-2007-184776 OAH No. 2010070936.
12	Against:	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION
13	PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D. 6742 Winnetka Ave.	
14	Winnetka, CA 91306	And the transfer of the second
15	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A	
.16	71500 Respondent.	
17		
18	·	
19	Complainant alleges:	
20		RTIES
21	1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her	
22	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of	
23	Consumer Affairs.	
24	2. On or about May 4, 2000, the Medical Board of California issued Physician and	
25	Surgeon's Certificate Number A 71500 to PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician	
26		
2	herein and will expire on October 31, 2011, u	nless renewed.
2	8 ///	
		1 Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality¹ shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence.

. 1

California Business and Professions Code section 2002, as amended and effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code § 2000, et seq.) means the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - 6. Section 2264 of the Code states:

The employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any unlicensed person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicensed practitioner to engage in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct.

- 7. Section 2052 of the Code states:
- "(a) Notwithstanding Section 146, any person who practices or attempts to practice, or who advertises or holds himself or herself out as practicing, any system or mode of treating the sick or afflicted in this state, or who diagnoses, treats, operates for, or prescribes for any ailment, blemish, deformity, disease, disfigurement, disorder, injury, or other physical or mental condition of any person, without having at the time of so doing a valid, unrevoked, or unsuspended certificate as provided in this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act], or without being authorized to perform the act pursuant to a certificate obtained in accordance with some other provision of law, is guilty of a public offense, punishable by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), by imprisonment in the state prison, by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both the fine and either imprisonment.
- "(b) Any person who conspires with or aids or abets another to commit any act described in subdivision (a) is guilty of a public offense, subject to the punishment described in that subdivision.
- "(c) The remedy provided in this section shall not preclude any other remedy provided by law."

2.1 2.2

วร 8. Section 726 of the Code states:

"The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division, under any initiative act referred to in this division and under Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 9000) of Division 3.

"This section shall not apply to sexual contact between a physician and surgeon and his or her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship when that physician and surgeon provides medical treatment, other than psychotherapeutic treatment, to his or her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Sexual Exploitation of a Patient)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 726 in that he committed acts of sexual misconduct with patient E.S. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. E.S., a female, received a letter from the INS requesting that she get a medical evaluation since she was in the process of applying to be a U.S. citizen. The letter indicated she needed to get some vaccinations and blood drawn. She was referred to respondent by a friend.
- 11. On June 17, 2007, at about 5:00 o'clock in the evening, E.S. went to respondent's office, but she did not have an appointment. The door was open and she saw a male sitting in the office, eating. He did not appear to be a doctor. She asked if he could do the INS exam that day and he agreed; the man was respondent. He made a comment about not seeing her for a long time, but E.S. said he must have mistaken her for someone else.
- 12. Respondent told E.S. to go to the exam room so he could draw blood. He asked her a few questions, but did not check her weight or height. He told her to disrobe down to her underwear and gave her a paper gown to put on. Respondent told E.S. to lie down on the exam table and he left the room.
- 13. When respondent returned, he asked E.S. if she was a virgin and when she said yes, he laughed. He told her not to be embarrassed. When respondent started the exam, he began by

squeezing her arms and shoulders, and said he was checking for scars or lacerations. Respondent was not wearing gloves. The gown tore at her upper right breast area, and E.S. tried to cover up, but respondent told her not to. He told her he had to check for lumps on her breasts. Respondent squeezed with his finger tips, then squeezed her breasts with his entire hand. He also checked her arms and upper chest area.

- 14. Respondent checked her legs and feet. He then told E.S. to turn face down on her stomach. He stood next to the table and pressed against the gown's string, which caused it to tear open. He was about one inch away from her. Respondent kept squeezing different parts on her back and buttocks.
- 15. Respondent had E.S. face upwards and again asked if she was a virgin. He reached his hand into her gown and touched her stomach. Respondent repeatedly told E.S. to relax. He then put his hand underneath her underwear and placed his hand between her thighs, claiming he was checking for lumps. E.S. was afraid and wanted to leave. Respondent instructed E.S. to spread her legs, and he pressed on her abdominal area with one hand and kept pressing his other hand over her underwear. E.S. was not in stirrups for any part of the examination.
- 16. Respondent moved his fingers from the left side of her vagina and then to the right. He placed his fingers on her vagina, slowly moved them and then inserted a finger; he did this quickly. E.S. wanted the exam to be finished. She noticed respondent's face was sweating and he had an erection. Respondent had no chaperone in the room and failed to use gloves during the entire examination. She recalled that respondent's fingers looked "peeled back," like they had fungus in his nails.
- 17. E.S. tried to get dressed, but respondent was still in the room. She tried to get him to leave, which he finally did. When respondent left he did not close the door, so while E.S. got dressed, she put her foot on the door to prevent him from coming in.
- 18. E.S. paid respondent \$100 for the exam. She quickly ran out of the office and immediately called her friend, A.T. She told him briefly about the exam, but didn't go into detail. E.S. asked A.T. if he had to disrobe during his I.N.S. exam and he said no. A.T. recalls E.S. crying when explaining this to her. A.T. told E.S. to go to the police.

- 19. E.S. went home and was shaking. Her mother asked where she had been but E.S. did not want to worry her. E.S. called another friend and told her what happened. The next day E.S. called an attorney and he told her to go to the police. E.S. was interviewed by the police, then they took her to respondent's office so she could identify him, which she did. After the incident, E.S. would often call A.T. because she was unable to sleep and he would need to calm her down.
- 20. A.T. went to respondent's office on June 22, 2007, to pick up the paperwork for E.S. A.T. told Respondent that E.S. was his sister. Respondent asked to speak to A.T. privately. Respondent asked A.T. why E.S. reported this to the police, so A.T. asked respondent what happened. Respondent told A.T. he would pay A.T. if E.S. dropped the charges. Respondent also told A.T. his attorneys would "turn them into dust."
- 21. A.T. reported the conversation he had with respondent to LAPD Detective Gosser.

 The detective set up an undercover operation with A.T., who then scheduled an appointment with respondent and his wife to meet at a restaurant. When A.T. got to the restaurant, respondent's wife was not there. Instead, respondent showed up with a few other men, one of whom was Tony, respondent's brother. The other was a man named Amir. Respondent was not really involved in the conversation. Amir did most of the talking and said respondent was a good doctor and that this was all a misunderstanding. A.T. left because he was nervous, and as he was leaving he heard Amir and Tony say they could "beat up" A.T.
- 22. A few days after the incident between E.S. and respondent, E.S. received threatening telephone calls telling her to drop the case, but she did not know whom they were from. She reported this to Detective Gosser, who traced the call back to someone named Tony. E.S. never went back to respondent's office for her follow up visit.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b) in that he was grossly negligent in his care and treatment of patient E.S. The circumstances are as follows:

24. The facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs 11 through 24 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding or Abetting the Unlicensed Practice of Medicine)

- 25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2264 of the Code in that Respondent employed, directly or indirectly, aided or abetted an unlicensed person to engage ithe practice of medicine. The circumstances are as follows:
- 26. On April 22, 2010, Medical Board investigators went to 6742 Winnetka Avenue in Winnetka, California. The Winnetka Medical Group is located there and respondent is the owner. He hired a woman named Niusha Andalibisalem, aka Niusha Andalibi to work there. Ms. Andalibi is not licenced by the Medical Board of California as a physician and surgeon, nor is she licensed by any other health care related licensing entity within the State of California.
- 27. The investigators were there on an unrelated case. While the investigators were in the lobby, they heard the front office employee, Ms. Fregoso, refer to Ms. Andalibi as "Dr. Niusha". Niusha Andalibi was wearing a white lab coat and other employees referred to her as "doctor."
- 28. When the investigator called out "doctor," Ms. Andalibi turned around. She told the investigator her name is Dr. Niusha Andalibi. She stated she had been working at that facility for 2-3 months. She helps respondent consult with patients. She claimed she does not perform physical exams nor prescribes medications. She does not perform procedures because she is a general practitioner and respondent is a cosmetic doctor. She introduced herself to other office staff as "Dr. Niusha," and all the office staff referred to her as a doctor. According to the medical assistant, Ms. Mesrobian, Ms. Andalibi consulted for cosmetic procedures, wrote prescriptions and did physical exams.
 - 29. On or about May 19, 2010, patient A.C² went into the office she was greeted by Ms. Andalibi. A.C. asked about skin blotching and Andalibi offered to do a free consultation. A.C.

² Patient A.C. was an undercover Sheriff's Department officer. She posed as patient A.C. The identity of this patient will be disclosed in the course of discovery

went into the exam room, and Respondent came in. A.C. said that because of her religious beliefs, she wanted a female doctor. Respondent explained that he is the president and does consultations, but that Dr. Niusha would see A.C. Then Andalibi walked into the room.

- 30. Andalibi consulted with and diagnosed A.C. She told the patient she had damaged spots from the sun and that she needed Obagi, and she recommended laser treatment and a specific machine to use. A.C. requested Retina A, and Andalibi told her she needed to use it in combination with the Obagi. Throughout this entire visit, A.C. referred to Andalibi as "Dr. Niusha" and she never corrected A.C. Patient A.C. was not examined by Respondent or any other physician on this visit. Retin A can only be obtained by a prescription from a licensed physician.
- 31. Later that day, A.C. returned to Respondent's office in order to purchase the Obagi and Retina medications. A.C. went into the office and spoke with Andalibi. She was out of Retina A and Obagi, but offered her a trial size package of the Obagi and quoted \$200 along with a prescription for Retina A. The box on the Obagi medication reads: "Obagi products are physician dispensed and should be used under the guidance of your skincare specialist." The products contained in the package are prescription only products. Andalibi took A.C. into an exam room and explained how to use the Obagi, and that she needed to mix the Retin A with the "blender" which is step 5 of the process. The blender contains hydroquinone and is prescription only.
- 32. When Andalibi walked out to the lobby with A.C., she wrote a prescription for Retin A, written on one of Respondent's prescription pads. Again throughout this visit, A.C. referred to Andalibi as "Dr, Andalibi" and was never corrected. Patient A.C. was not seen or examined by Respondent or any other physician on this visit and no prescription for Retin A or Obagi was provided by any physician.

Allegations of Assisting in or Abetting the Violation of the Medical Practice Act

A. Examining a patient, referring to oneself as an M.D., and prescribing drugs constitute the practice of medicine within the meaning of Code section 2052.

///

Accusation

Exhibit B

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. D1-2007-184776

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusate Petition to Revoke Probation))
PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D.) Case No. D1-2007-184776
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500)))
	Respondent.	,)
)

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 4, 2015

IT IS SO ORDERED July 28, 2015

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Kimberly Kirchmeyer

Executive Director

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

I do hereby certify that this document is a true
and correct copy of the original on file in this

signature Destocion of Record

Date

[]		•	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	·	
2	ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	CINDY M. LOPEZ	•	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 119988		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFOR	RE THE	
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9		ALIFORNIA	
10	To the Method of the Assuration and Datition to	Case No. D1-2007-184776	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. D1-2007-104770	
12	PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D. Winnetka Medical Group	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF	
13	6742 Winnetka Avenue Winnetka, CA 91306	LICENSE AND ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A		
15	71500 Respondent.		
16	Kespondent.		
17			
18	IT IS HERERY STIPLIT ATED AND AG	REED by and between the parties to the above-	
19			
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: PARTIES		
21		t) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
22	11		
23	of California. She brought this action solely in		
24	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of	of the State of Camonna, by Chicy, w. Lopez,	
25	Deputy Attorney General.		
26	18	is represented in this proceeding by attorney Jay	
27	Hartz at the firm of Hooper, Lundy & Bookma	n, whose address is 1875 Century Park East, Suite	
28	1600, Los Angeles, California 90067.	·	
	1		

3. On May 4, 2000, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500 to Pez Abrahams, M.D. (Respondent). On April 15, 2013, Respondent's license was placed on an Automatic Suspension by the Board based on his conviction and incarceration for fraud. Apart from that, the Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation and expired on October 31, 2013. His renewal fees have not been paid; therefore, he is delinquent.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against Respondent, ("Accusation") No. D1-2007-184776 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board) and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 9, 2015. A copy of pleading is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. D1-2007-184776. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent agrees that Complainant could establish a factual basis for the allegations as alleged in Accusation No. D1-2007-184776, and agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 71500, issued to Respondent Pez Abrahams, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Medical Board of California.

- 1. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Medical Board of California.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician and surgeon in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. D1-2007-184776 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Jay Hartz. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 3/20/15

PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D.

Respondent

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent the terms and conditions and other matters
2	contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.
3	DATED: 3/1/15
4	JAY HARTZ Attorney for Respondent
5	
6	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
7	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted
8	for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.
9	Dated: 7.17.15 Respectfully submitted,
10	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
11	ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General
12	Ciny M. A.
13	CINDY M. LOPEZ
14	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
15	
16	
17	LA 2013609444 61195677
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	ll.
26	
27	·
28	

Exhibit A

Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-2007-184776

		· 1	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	FILED	
2	ROBERT MCKIM BELL	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
3	CINDY M. LOPEZ Deputy Attorney General	CRAMENTO WARCH 91 80 15 CHAMENTO WARCH 91 80 15 THELCHAIC ANALYST	
4	State Bar No. 119988 California Department of Justice		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	·	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	·	
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. D1-2007-184776	
12	PEZ ABRAHAMS, M.D.	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO	
13	6742 Winnetka Avenue	REVOKE PROBATION	
14	Winnetka, CA 91306		
15	Physician's & Surgeon's Certificate A 71500,		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation and Petition to Revoke		
22	Probation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Medical Board of		
23	California ("Board").		
24	2. On May 4, 2000, the Board issued i hysician's and Surges		
25	71500 to Pez Abrahams, M.D. (Respondent). On various = 7		
26	Accusation was filed against Respondent which alleged sexual exploitation of a patient and aiding		
27	and abetting the unlicensed practice of medicine. On July 15, 2011, pursuant to a stipulated		
28	1		
	Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation		

settlement, Respondent was placed on probation for a period of 7 years upon various terms and conditions of probation. Apart from that, Respondent's license has been in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. His license expired on October 31, 2013. His renewal fees have not been paid, therefore he is delinquent. On September 4, 2013, Respondent's license was placed on an Automatic Suspension by the Board based on his conviction for fraud.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by

1,2

existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

- 5. Section 2236 of the Code states:
- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- "(b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Division of Medical Quality¹ of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and describe the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.
- "(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

//

"

#.

//

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2002, "Division of Medical Quality" or "Division" shall be deemed to refer to the Medical Board of California.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2236 in that he was convicted of a crime which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 7. On or about December 18, 2012, in the case of *United States of America v. Pezhman Ebrahimzadeh, aka "Pez Abrahams"*, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, case number CR 12-1191, Respondent was charged with violating Title 18 United States Code section 1347, Health Care Fraud, a felony.
- 8. It was alleged that Respondent billed Medicare for providing medical services between September 2008 and on or about May 2012. He submitted false and fraudulent claims to Medicare and Medicare paid him over three million dollars.
- 9. On or about April 15, 2013, Respondent was convicted, upon his plea of guilt, of health care fraud, a felony, as charged in the Information.
- 10. On April 15, 2013, Respondent was sentenced to serve 42 months in Federal prison. Respondent was ordered to pay \$3,184,617 in restitution to the victims. Respondent was further ordered to three years supervised probation upon release from prison. As part of Respondent's criminal probation, he was ordered to not be employed in any position that requires licensing and/or certification by any local, state or federal agency without prior approval from his Probation Officer. Respondent was also required to submit a DNA sample and to pay a special assessment.
- 11. On May 1, 2013, Respondent surrendered himself to the Bureau of Prisons. He was incarcerated at FCI Safford, Federal Correctional Institution in Arizona with an expected release date of May 19, 2016.

CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Obey All Laws)

12. On January 21, 2011, a First Amended Accusation was filed against Respondent which alleged sexual exploitation of a patient and aiding and abetting the unlicensed practice of medicine.

Exhibit C

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2020-063423

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Reinstate the Revoked Certificate of:

Case No. 800-2020-063423

Pez Abrahams

Physician's and Surgeons Certificate No. A 71500

Petitioner.

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 2, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED: May 3, 2021.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald H. Lewis, M.D., Chair

Panel A

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

I do hereby certify that this document is a true
and correct copy of the original on file in this

Signature

Title

May 23, 2025

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Reinstate the Revoked Certificate of:

PEZ ABRAHAMS, Petitioner

Agency Case No. 800-2020-063423

OAH No. 2020110218

PROPOSED DECISION

Howard W. Cohen, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), State of California, heard this matter remotely by video and teleconference on March 1, 2021.

Peter Osinoff, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of petitioner Pez Abrahams, who was present.

Brenda P. Reyes, Deputy Attorney General, appeared under Government Code section 11522.

Oral and documentary evidence was received. The record was closed and the matter was submitted for decision on March 1, 2021.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

Jurisdiction

- 1. The Medical Board of California (Board) issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 71500 to petitioner on May 4, 2000. The certificate was scheduled to expire on October 31, 2013. On September 4, 2013, the Board placed the certificate on automatic suspension based on petitioner's criminal conviction for fraud. The certificate expired on October 31, 2013, and petitioner did not renew it. On August 4, 2015, petitioner surrendered his certificate in accordance with a Decision on Stipulated Surrender of License and Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation in case no. D1-2007-184776.
- 2. Petitioner filed a Petition for Penalty Relief, Reinstatement of Revoked/Suspended Certificate, dated December 13, 2019 (Petition).

Petitioner's Disciplinary History

2011 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND PROBATION

- 3. In a Decision and Order effective July 15, 2011, in case no. 17-2007-184776 (2011 Decision), the Board adopted a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, revoked petitioner's certificate, stayed the revocation, and placed petitioner on seven years' probation on terms and conditions.
- 4. The Board's executive officer filed the Accusation in case no. 17-2007-184776 on May 25, 2010, and a First Amended Accusation on January 21, 2011. In the Stipulated Settlement, petitioner agreed that, if the Board ever petitioned for

revocation of probation, all of the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation would be deemed true, correct, and admitted by petitioner.

5. The First Amended Accusation included factual allegations to support causes for discipline against petitioner for (a) his sexual exploitation of patient E.S., under Business and Professions Code section 726; (b) gross negligence in petitioner's care and treatment of patient E.S., under Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivision (b); and (c) petitioner's aiding or abetting the unlicensed practice of medicine at the Winnetka Medical Group, which petitioner owned, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2264.

2013 LICENSE SUSPENSION

- 6. On September 4, 2013, the Board issued petitioner a Notice of Automatic Suspension of License, based on petitioner's conviction in *U.S. v. Pezhman Ebrahimzadeh, aka Pez Abrahams* (2013, C.D. Cal., no. CR 12-1191) (*U.S. v. Abrahams*). The Board issued the suspension under the authority of Business and Professions Code section 2236.1 (automatic suspension when certificate holder convicted of a felony).
- 7. In *U.S. v. Abrahams*, petitioner pled guilty to and was convicted of violating Title 18 United States Code section 1347 (health care fraud), a felony. The court sentenced petitioner to serve 42 months in federal prison and ordered him to pay restitution in the amount of \$3,184,617, serve three years of supervised probation upon his release from prison, and not be employed in any position requiring licensure without prior approval of his probation officer. Petitioner was incarcerated at Safford Federal Correctional Institution in Safford, Arizona.

8. The facts and circumstances leading to the fraud conviction were that petitioner, as the owner of and sole physician at Winnetka Medical Group, submitted false and fraudulent claims for payment to the Medicare program from September 2008 to May 2012 for services he had not provided.

2015 LICENSE SURRENDER

- 9. The Board's executive officer filed an Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation on March 9, 2015, based on the criminal conviction. In a Decision adopting a Stipulated Surrender of License and Order effective on August 4, 2015, in case no. D1-2007-184776 (2015 Decision), the Board accepted the surrender of petitioner's certificate.
- 10. Upon the filing of the Petition to Revoke Probation, all of the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation in case no. 17-2007-184776 were deemed true, correct, and admitted by petitioner. (See Factual Finding 4, ante.) The First Amended Accusation alleged and charged that petitioner engaged in the sexual exploitation of patient E.S., committed gross negligence in the treatment of patient E.S., and aided and abetted the unlicensed practice of medicine at Winnetka Medical Group. (See Factual Finding 5, ante.)
- 11. In the Stipulated Settlement, petitioner agreed that, if he were ever to file a petition for reinstatement, "all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. D1-2007-184776 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by [petitioner] when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition." (Ex. 6, p. 61.)

12. The Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation stated factual allegations, based on petitioner's fraud conviction in *U.S. v. Abrahams*, to support a cause (a) for discipline against petitioner for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician, and (b) to revoke petitioner's probation, imposed under the 2011 Decision, for violating probation condition 7, which required petitioner to obey all laws.

THE PETITION

13. Upon the filing of the Petition that is the subject of this matter, all of the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation in case no. D1-2007-184776 were deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by petitioner. (See Factual Finding 11, ante.) The Accusation alleged and charged that petitioner was convicted, in *U.S. v. Abrahams*, of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician, and that petitioner's conviction supported revocation of probation based on his violation of probation condition 7, which required petitioner to obey all laws. (See Factual Finding 12, ante.)

Mitigation and Rehabilitation

14. Petitioner testified he came to this country alone at age 13 in 1979, due to the onset of the Iran revolution. He lived with an American family and obtained a student visa. He obtained a Bachelor of Science degree from UCLA in biochemistry, and a medical degree from Rush Medical College in Chicago. Petitioner started his residency at the Chicago Medical School, transferred to L.A. County USC Medical Center for one more year, and did a preliminary internship in general surgery.

Petitioner was licensed in 2000. Prior to revocation, he primarily performed nonsurgical, noninvasive cosmetic medicine.

- 15. Petitioner testified he is sorry about his criminal acts, that he was irresponsible and wrong, and his misconduct will never recur. Petitioner committed Medicare fraud from 2008 to 2012 because, he testified, he felt he would not be valued unless he appeared more prosperous than he was. He felt inadequate, was not morally focused, and looked only at financial gain, telling himself he deserved to have "flashy things." A one-year ethics course petitioner was required to take while on probation in 2011 or 2012 helped him begin to fundamentally change his character. His values are no longer driven by money.
- 16. Petitioner expressed gratitude for being caught committing Medicare fraud, testifying he could not otherwise have become the person he is today. Being convicted changed his values; he underwent more than five years of psychotherapy, performed volunteer work, and vowed not to be the same person who shamed himself, his family, and his community.
- 17. The 2010 case charging petitioner with aiding and abetting the unlicensed practice of medicine led to his criminal conviction in 2012, when he was placed on 18 months' summary probation. The case was dismissed after six months, on December 6, 2012. Petitioner testified he did not know his assistant had been engaging in the unlawful practice of medicine, "but I don't want to minimize this, I pled no contest." He had not yet been incarcerated on the federal Medicare charge.
- 18. Petitioner's certificate expired in October 2013; petitioner did not renew it. He does not remember when he ceased practicing medicine.

- 19. Petitioner has paid about \$2 million of the approximately \$3 million the court ordered him to pay in restitution on the federal Medicare fraud charge. He used all his assets to pay the \$2 million, liquidating three pieces of real estate, including his house and his medical clinic property. Petitioner continues to pay \$25 per month, and is current in his payments. He completed the other terms of criminal probation, including 300 hours of community service, by November 2018.
- 20. While in prison, petitioner took a six-month Moneysmart course taught by someone who had committed financial crimes, addressing legal business practices, as well as a program on post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) to help him adjust to prison; the PTSD program also helped petitioner develop compassion for others. Petitioner attended 12 weeks of Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) and Narcotics Anonymous meetings, not because he was addicted to alcohol or drugs, but because he found the 12-step programs share features of what he described as his spiritual practices. After petitioner's release from prison, he continued attending AA meetings weekly until Covid-19 forced an end to in-person meetings.
- Van Nuys, and four months later he was released from the halfway house. He remained on criminal probation. Petitioner completed a residential drug and alcohol program (RDAP), beginning while he was in prison and continuing while he was in the halfway house; for a total of 500 hours. While in prison, petitioner attended RDAP group sessions every day for about six months. He discussed the errors and aberrant behavior that led to his conviction, did some cognitive behavioral therapy, and learned how to avoid recidivism.

- 22. In 2014, while in federal prison in Arizona, petitioner was extradited to Los Angeles County jail to face recently filed criminal charges of sexual exploitation and improper touching of a patient during an exam in June 2007. Petitioner was arrested in connection with the alleged crime in 2007; he was interviewed by the police and released. On October 30, 2014, both counts in that California criminal case were dismissed, and petitioner was returned to federal prison in Arizona. Petitioner testified he was not told the reason for the dismissal.
- exploitation, Rabbi Zvi Boyarsky visited petitioner in the capacity of a chaplain. Rabbi Boyarsky has worked for the Aleph Institute, which assists incarcerated people, for 15 years. Rabbi Boyarsky met petitioner about 10 times and discussed transformation, remorse, and rebuilding. Rabbi Boyarsky believes petitioner has a genuine desire to make amends, turn his life around, and become a better person with a more acute sense of ethics. Petitioner blamed no one but himself for his actions and felt very remorseful about the damage he had caused to others and to his family.
- visited Rabbi Boyarsky at home, and petitioner worked for the Aleph Institute for a time. Rabbi Boyarsky testified he observed petitioner working hard to become a moral, kind human being, and petitioner took their conversations about values seriously. Rabbi Boyarsky has stayed in touch with petitioner. Petitioner is in a stable family relationship and has a very loving family. Petitioner told Rabbi Boyarsky he wants to become a doctor again so he can help people and support his family. Rabbi Boyarsky believes petitioner has genuinely transformed, based on numerous conversations and his long experience with incarcerated people. Petitioner has become focused on values

and ethics and how to withstand temptations, and has shown genuine and sincere remorse; that is why Rabbi Boyarsky agreed to testify in this matter.

- 25. In addition to programs the courts or the Board ordered, such as a 2011 course on professional boundaries, petitioner participated in various programs in prison, including RDAP, AA, PTSD counseling, and Moneysmart, and talking with Rabbi Boyarsky and, later, Rabbi Camras (see Factual Finding 31, infra). These programs were not mandatory for petitioner. He testified he wanted to participate due to his desire to become a better person.
- 26. Petitioner was in psychotherapy after his release from the halfway house. He saw Ladan Safvati, LMFT, for five years. Mr. Safvati confirmed this in a letter dated February 4, 2021. Petitioner testified that, through therapy, he learned that positive moral and therapeutic change are inextricable. He and Mr. Safvati discussed petitioner's inadequacies, the reasons petitioner committed illegal acts, and petitioner's family. They explored how to replace his prior values with healthy ones and implement adaptive coping with stresses. Petitioner testified that therapy helped him find a solid ground of positive core values and harness his selfish impulses. He feels he has a new moral authority and is living with moral integrity.
- 27. Petitioner volunteers in the community. He made presentations with the American Heart Association from 2016 to March 2020, and continues to volunteer virtually. He also volunteers with Paralyzed Veterans of America, helping veterans who have spinal cord injuries to lead a better life while incapacitated. He counts as success his ability to give back to society to reciprocate for what he took illegally.
- 28. Petitioner also defines success as being a good role model for his 15-year-old son and 9-year-old daughter. Petitioner's mother died 10 days before the

hearing. While visiting her in the Intensive Care Unit, petitioner saw prominent doctors he had studied with, and knew he wanted to get his life back together and practice medicine again.

- 29. Petitioner has not been able to find gainful employment. He worked for Uber and Lyft off and on in 2016 and 2017, but stopped because his wife needed the car. Petitioner worked as a receptionist at Perfect Pups Spa, a pet grooming shop, in Simi Valley while residing in the halfway house. Petitioner testified he has sent out between 3,000 and 4,000 resumes online, with no success. He has applied at "big box" stores, also with no success, as well as for a position as a veterinary assistant in Northridge. He receives Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program and CalFresh benefits, and he and his family are on Medi-Cal. He testified he is very frugal.
- 30. From 2015 to the present, petitioner has accumulated many continuing medical education (CME) credits. Most are for online courses in general practice-related fields, some focused on cardiology, opioids, diabetes, and oncology. Petitioner also regularly reads medical journals online, including the New England Journal of Medicine and others. He has attended medical billing seminars and teleconferenced weekly with disciplined licensees (Ex. K, pp. 3 & 4.) Petitioner testified he has stayed up to date because medicine is his passion and because he hopes to have his certificate reinstated.
- 31. Rabbi Richard Camras testified at hearing and wrote a letter to support the Petition. Rabbi Camras met petitioner at his synagogue just prior to petitioner's incarceration in 2013; petitioner expressed remorse and said he had to begin his rehabilitation. After petitioner was released from the halfway house in October 2015, Rabbi Camras met him several times; petitioner said he wanted to start over,

rehabilitate, and volunteer at the synagogue. Petitioner and his family attended some religious services, petitioner joined monthly sessions of the synagogue's men's group to discuss ethics until the Covid-19 pandemic prevented such meetings, and petitioner volunteered monthly at the synagogue to help prepare lunches.

- Institute. Petitioner appeared willing to serve the community and offered to pay back all the money the synagogue congregation had lent his family for membership fees and his daughter's preschool tuition. He told Rabbi Camras he wants to prove to himself, his family, and society that he has rehabilitated himself. Rabbi Camras believes petitioner is sincerely committed to rehabilitation, but he has not seen petitioner since the last men's group meeting in February 2020. Petitioner is not a current member of the synagogue; Rabbi Camras does not know whether petitioner attends streaming services online. Rabbi Camras's only engagement with petitioner over the past year was during a telephone call when petitioner's mother died.
- 33. Petitioner discussed the fraud conviction with Rabbi Camras, not the matters involving sexual exploitation and aiding the unlicensed practice of medicine. Petitioner said he was driven by money and "dark spirits," which were no longer part of his decision-making. He admitted his crime publicly, expressed remorse, and vowed not to repeat it. Petitioner also felt remorseful about not being able to take care of family financially and pay his debt to society, and asked the rabbi for help finding a job.
- 34. Petitioner offered character reference letters in support of his Petition, signed under penalty of perjury by Homayoun Sharim, M.D., and Hossain Sahlolbei, M.D.

- a. Dr. Sharim, a family friend, wrote, in a letter dated December 10, 2019, that he has known petitioner for over 30 years. He is aware of the circumstances leading to petitioner's criminal convictions and the revocation of his medical license. "Knowing him as well as I do, I can relay to the Board the sincere and genuine repentance he feels for violating the principles he had worked so diligently to uphold throughout his life. . . . [¶] I trust [petitioner] and respect him as a dedicated physician in his field. . . . I have experienced his integrity, commitment, and love of the profession on numerous occasions. Allowing him to have his medical license reinstated will be of great service to the community. [¶] He has made significant reformative strides that I am aware of to reinvent himself," such as his volunteer activities, his obtaining psychotherapy, and his attending ethics and boundary seminars at the UCI School of Medicine. Petitioner cultivates good virtues to prevent relapses and to protect patients and the public. "His present character could in no way be tempted to repeat his prior or any misdeeds." (Ex. I)
- b. Dr. Sahlolbei wrote, in a letter dated September 5, 2019, that he has known petitioner for nearly 20 years. He is familiar with the circumstances leading to petitioner's license revocation. Petitioner has openly and honestly discussed and expressed his regrets about his fraudulent business practices. "He now has a better appreciation of boundaries to prevent lapses of judgement that can harm his, his patients, his practice and his family." (Ex. H.) Petitioner has pursued rehabilitation by performing charitable work, participating in therapeutic programs and psychotherapy, continuing his medical education, and participating in AA. "I believe he is sincere in his

rehabilitation effort, his remorse, and desire to go forward on an honest path in the future practice of medicine." (*Ibid.*)¹

- 35. Petitioner has testified he learned, through the many programs he has taken and many years of therapy, the impact his wrongdoing had on others. He knows he betrayed the public, disappointed his family, and alienated his friends. Petitioner is ashamed that he is still tying up state resources. He has been poor for some time, but that has not changed the good values that guide his conduct.
- 36. If his license is reinstated, petitioner wishes to work in a general practice full time as a salaried employee of a clinic or hospital or medical group. That would allow him to serve and to have a meaningful impact on public health and welfare. Petitioner currently has received two verbal offers of employment, one from a West Covina Hospital doctor, and one from Dr. Sharim.

¹ In a Decision in case no. 09-2012-228346 effective November 3, 2017, the Board adopted a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order revoking physician's and surgeon's certificate no. G84450 issued to Dr. Sahlolbei, staying the revocation, and placing Dr. Sahlolbei on four years' probation, which is set to end in November of this year. The underlying First Amended Accusation (FAA) alleged that Dr. Sahlolbei was convicted in state court of violating Penal Code section 487 (grand theft), and that the California Supreme Court reversed the conviction and remanded the matter for further proceedings, which were pending when the FAA was filed. The FAA also alleged counts for gross negligence, repeated negligent acts, and general unprofessional conduct. (See ex. 8.)

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1. A person whose certificate has been revoked may petition the Board for reinstatement. "The petition shall state any facts as may be required by the board. The petition shall be accompanied by at least two verified recommendations from physicians and surgeons certificated in any state who have personal knowledge of the activities of the petitioner since the disciplinary penalty was imposed." (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2307, subd. (c).)
- 2. The ALJ hearing the petition "may consider all activities of the petitioner since the disciplinary action was taken, the offense for which the petitioner was disciplined, the petitioner's activities during the time the certificate was in good standing, and the petitioner's rehabilitative efforts, general reputation for truth, and professional ability." (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2307, subd. (e).) The ALJ may recommend reinstating a certificate and imposing probationary terms and conditions. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2307, subd. (f).)
- 3. In a proceeding to reinstate a revoked certificate, the burden rests on the petitioner to prove rehabilitation and entitlement to a restored certificate. (*Flanzer v. Bd. of Dental Examiners* (1990) 220 Cal.App.3d 1392, 1398.) The petitioner must present proof of rehabilitation strong enough to overcome the Board's former adverse determination. (*Hippard v. State Bar of California* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1084, 1092-1093.) The standard of proof is clear and convincing evidence to a reasonable certainty. (*Id.; Housman v. Bd. of Medical Examiners* (1948) 84 Cal.App.2d 308.) Petitioner has sustained his burden of proof.

- 4. Acts of fraud and dishonesty vitiate the obligation of utmost honesty and integrity doctors owe to their patients. (See *Windham v. Board of Medical Quality Assurance* (1980) 104 Cal. App. 3d 461, 470.) Protection of the public "shall be the highest priority" for the Board in exercising disciplinary authority. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2229.) In considering license disciplinary action or relief from such action, an ALJ "shall, wherever possible, take action that is calculated to aid in the rehabilitation of the licensee, or where, due to a lack of continuing education or other reasons, restriction on scope of practice is indicated, to order restrictions as are indicated by the evidence." (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2229, subd. (b).) The ALJ is to consider such criteria as the nature and severity of the petitioner's act or crime, the time elapsed since the act or crime, subsequent acts or crimes, compliance with criminal probation, expungement of any conviction, and other evidence of rehabilitation. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 16, §§ 1360.1, 1360.2.) "Where rehabilitation and protection are inconsistent, protection shall be paramount." (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2229, subd. (c).)
 - 5. Petitioner engaged in significant acts of misconduct of various different kinds over a significant period of time. Nevertheless, petitioner has convincingly demonstrated rehabilitation, as set forth in Factual Findings 14 through 36. His commitment to honest business practices, ethics, and respecting boundaries, and his impressive rehabilitative programs and commitment to therapy, his volunteer work, and his assiduous pursuit of continuing medical education, demonstrate that, with effective terms and conditions of probation that will ensure petitioner's medical skills are current, monitor his ongoing rehabilitation, and protect the public, petitioner will not continue to pose a threat to the public if the Board were to reinstate his certificate.
 - 6. Cause exists, therefore, under Business and Professions Code section 2307, to grant petitioner's request for reinstatement of his certificate, under terms and

conditions, as it would be consistent with public interest, based on Factual Findings 3 through 36.

ORDER

The Petition of petitioner Pez Abrahams is granted. Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate no. A 71500 is reinstated. That certificate is, however, immediately revoked, the revocation is stayed, and petitioner's certificate is placed on probation for five years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Clinical Competence Assessment Program

Within 120 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall enroll in a clinical competence assessment program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Petitioner shall successfully complete the program not later than six months after petitioner's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time.

The program shall consist of a comprehensive assessment of petitioner's physical and mental health and the six general domains of clinical competence as defined by the Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Medical Specialties pertaining to petitioner's current or intended area of practice. The program shall take into account data obtained from the pre-assessment, self-report forms and interview, and the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The program shall require petitioner's on-site participation for a minimum of three and no more than five days as determined by the

program for the assessment and clinical education evaluation. Petitioner shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical competence assessment program.

At the end of the evaluation, the program will submit a report to the Board or its designee which unequivocally states whether the petitioner has demonstrated the ability to practice safely and independently. Based on petitioner's performance on the clinical competence assessment, the program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, evaluation or treatment for any medical condition or psychological condition, or anything else affecting petitioner's practice of medicine. Petitioner shall comply with the program's recommendations.

Determination as to whether petitioner successfully completed the clinical competence assessment program is solely within the program's jurisdiction.

Petitioner shall not practice medicine until petitioner has successfully completed the program and has been so notified by the Board or its designee in writing.

2. Education Course

Within 90 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, petitioner shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at petitioner's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its

designee may administer an examination to test petitioner's knowledge of the course.

Petitioner shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours are in satisfaction of this condition.

3. Psychiatric Evaluation

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter may be required by the Board or its designee, petitioner shall undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist, who shall consider any information provided by the Board or designee and any other information the psychiatrist deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Psychiatric evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Petitioner shall pay the cost of all psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing.

Petitioner shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

4. Psychotherapy

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a California-licensed board certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has a doctoral degree in psychology and at least five years of postgraduate experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders. Upon approval, petitioner shall undergo and continue psychotherapy treatment, including any

modifications to the frequency of psychotherapy, until the Board or its designee deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary.

The psychotherapist shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information the psychotherapist deems relevant and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Petitioner shall cooperate in providing the psychotherapist any information and documents that the psychotherapist may deem pertinent.

Petitioner shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly status reports to the Board or its designee. If, prior to the completion of probation, petitioner is found to be mentally unfit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over petitioner's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Board determines that petitioner is mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions.

Petitioner shall pay the cost of all psychotherapy and psychiatric evaluations.

5. Professionalism Program (Ethics Course)

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Petitioner shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Petitioner shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Petitioner shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after petitioner's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom

component. The professionalism program shall be at petitioner's expense and shall be in addition to the CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the First Amended Accusation in case no. 17-2007-184776 and in the Accusation in case no. D1-2007-184776, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Petitioner shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

6. Professional Boundaries Program

Within 60 calendar days from the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall enroll in a professional boundaries program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Petitioner, at the program's discretion, shall undergo and complete the program's assessment of petitioner's competency, mental health and/or neuropsychological performance, and at minimum, a 24-hour program of interactive education and training in the area of boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the assessment and from the Decision(s), Accusation(s) and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The program shall evaluate petitioner at the end of the training and the program shall provide any data from the assessment and training as well as the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee.

Failure to complete the entire program not later than six months after petitioner's initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Based on petitioner's performance in and evaluations from the assessment, education, and training, the program shall advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy and other measures necessary to ensure that petitioner can practice medicine safely. Petitioner shall comply with program recommendations. At the completion of the program, petitioner shall submit to a final evaluation. The program shall provide the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee. The professional boundaries program shall be at petitioner's expense and shall be in addition to the CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

The program has the authority to determine whether or not petitioner successfully completed the program.

A professional boundaries course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the First Amended Accusation in case no. 17-2007-184776 and in the Accusation in case no. D1-2007-184776, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

If petitioner fails to complete the program within the designated time period, petitioner shall cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee that petitioner failed to complete the program.

//

7. Third Party Chaperone

During probation, petitioner shall have a third-party chaperone present while consulting, examining or treating female patients. Petitioner shall, within 60 calendar days of the effective date of the Decision, submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval name(s) of persons who will act as the third-party chaperone.

If petitioner fails to obtain approval of a third-party chaperone within 90 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Petitioner shall cease the practice of medicine until a chaperone is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

Each third-party chaperone shall sign (in ink or electronically) and date each patient medical record at the time the chaperone's services are provided. Each third-party chaperone shall read the Decision(s) and the Accusation(s), and fully understand the role of the third-party chaperone.

Petitioner shall maintain a log of all patients seen for whom a third-party chaperone is required. The log shall contain the: (1) patient initials, address and telephone number; (2) medical record number; and (3) date of service. Petitioner shall keep this log in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, shall make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all times during business hours by the Board or its designee, and shall retain the log for the entire term of probation.

Petitioner is prohibited from terminating employment of a Board-approved third-party chaperone solely because that person provided information as required to the Board or its designee.

If the third-party chaperone resigns or is no longer available, petitioner shall, within five calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name of the person(s) who will act as the third-party chaperone. If petitioner fails to obtain approval of a replacement chaperone within 30 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the chaperone, petitioner shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three calendar days after being so notified. Petitioner shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement chaperone is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

8. Monitoring - Practice/Billing

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as practice and billing monitors, the names and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with petitioner, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in petitioner's field of practice, and must agree to serve as petitioner's monitor. Petitioner shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and

Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 90 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, petitioner's practice and billing shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Petitioner shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If petitioner fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 90 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Petitioner shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitors shall submit quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of petitioner's performance, indicating whether petitioner's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine or billing, or both, and whether petitioner is practicing medicine safely, billing appropriately or both. It shall be the sole responsibility of petitioner to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, petitioner shall, within five calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming

that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If petitioner fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, petitioner shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three calendar days after being so notified Petitioner shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, petitioner may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Petitioner shall participate in the professional enhancement program at petitioner's expense during the term of probation.

9. Solo Practice Prohibition

Petitioner is prohibited from engaging in the solo practice of medicine. Prohibited solo practice includes, but is not limited to, a practice where: (1) petitioner merely shares office space with another physician but is not affiliated for purposes of providing patient care, or (2) petitioner is the sole physician practitioner at that location.

If petitioner fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 120 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three calendar days after being so notified. The petitioner shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

If, during the course of the probation, petitioner's practice setting changes and the petitioner is no longer practicing in a setting in compliance with this Decision, the

petitioner shall notify the Board or its designee within five calendar days of the practice setting change. If petitioner fails to establish a practice, with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the practice setting change, petitioner shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three calendar days after being so notified. Petitioner shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

10. Notification

Within seven days of the effective date of this Decision, petitioner shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to petitioner, at any other facility where petitioner engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to petitioner. Petitioner shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

11. Supervision of Physician Assistants and Advanced Practice Nurses

During probation, petitioner is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.

//

12. Obey All Laws

Petitioner shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

13. Quarterly Declarations

Petitioner shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Petitioner shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

14. General Probation Requirements

Compliance with Probation Unit

Petitioner shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Petitioner shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of petitioner's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

H

//

Place of Practice

Petitioner shall not engage in the practice of medicine in petitioner's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Petitioner shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Petitioner shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

In the event petitioner should leave the State of California to reside or to practice petitioner shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

15. Interview with the Board or its Designee

Petitioner shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at petitioner's place of business or at the probation unit office upon reasonable prior notice throughout the term of probation.

16. Non-practice While on Probation

Petitioner shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar

days of petitioner's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time petitioner is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If petitioner resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, petitioner shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve petitioner from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event petitioner's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, petitioner shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Board's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Petitioner's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a petitioner residing outside of California, will relieve petitioner of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of

probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; and Quarterly Declarations.

17. Completion of Probation

Petitioner shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, petitioner's certificate shall be fully restored.

18. Violation of Probation

Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If petitioner violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving petitioner notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against petitioner during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

19. License Surrender

Following the effective date of this Decision, if petitioner ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, petitioner may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate petitioner's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, petitioner shall within 15 calendar days deliver petitioner's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and petitioner shall no longer practice medicine. Petitioner

will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If petitioner reapplies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

20. Probation Monitoring Costs

Petitioner shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

DATE: 03/30/2021

HOWARD W. COHEN

Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings